

Procurement Reform Bill Consultation

Respondent Information Form

Please Note this form must be returned with your response to ensure that we handle your response appropriately

1. Name/Organisation

Academic

Individual

Organisation Name Scottish Information Commissioner Title Mr Ms Mrs Miss Dr Please tick as appropriate **Surname** Agnew **Forename** Rosemary 2. Postal Address Kinburn Castle Doubledykes Road St Andrews Fife Postcode Phone Email enquiries@itspublicknowledge.info **KY16 9DS** 01334 464610 3. Type of respondent Please tick appropriate box **Executive Agencies and NDPBs** Local authority **NHS** Other statutory organisation Representative body for private sector organisations Representative body for third sector/equality organisations Representative body for community organisations Representative body for professionals **Private sector organisation** Third sector/equality organisation **Community group**

If ot	If other please specify				
4. P	ermissions – I am re	spond	ling	as	
	Individual		/	Gro	oup/Organisation
	PI	ease tic	k as	approp	priate
(0)	Do you agree to your re-	nonoo		(0)	The name and address of your
(a)	Do you agree to your respond to being made available to public (in Scottish Governibrary and/or on the Scot Government website)? Please tick as appropr	the rnment ottish		(c)	The name and address of your organisation will be made available to the public (in the Scottish Government library and/or on the Scottish Government website).
	Yes No				
(b)	Where confidentiality is requested, we will make responses available to the public on the following be	uested, we will make your ponses available to the			Are you content for your response to be made available?
	Please tick ONE of the				Please tick as appropriate
	following boxes			_	
	Yes, make my response name and address all available	,			
	or				
	Yes, make my response available, but not my name and address				
	or				
	Yes, make my response and name available, but not my address				
			•		
(d)	teams who may be add contact you again in the	dressing future, Govern	the but nme	issue: we req	o other Scottish Government policy s you discuss. They may wish to uire your permission to do so. Are ontact you again in relation to this

CONSULTATION QUESTIONNAIRE

AIM OF THE BILL

Q1.	Do you	u agree	with the pr	oposed ain	n of the B	3III?			
	Yes √	1	No □		Don't k	now/No v	riew □		
			t agree with						
enfor Envir	cemen onmen	t of the l tal Infor		f Information otland) Reg	on (Scotla gulations	and) Act 2 2004 (the	2002 (FC e EIRs).		
_	I agree with the aim of the Bill insofar as it promotes transparent public procurement processes. The other aims of the Bill are outwith my remit.								
inforr acces a sub	mation, ss to in ostantia	includin formation Il body o	ng tendering on legislatio	g information came into which look	on and the o force was at trans	e award o	of contra	to procuremer acts. Since the ave developed ocurement	
			Mr Thoman	•				isure Ltd whic acility.	h
order	Decision 104/2009 UNISON Scotland and the Scottish Prison Service which ordered release of a financial model relating to the PFI contract for Kilmarnock Prison								
relea	se of in	nformation						ordered the et outreach	
will re	efer to t	the Scot	tish Ministe	ers' Code o	of Practice A and the	e on the o	lischarge sued De	experience and e of functions ecember 2010)	
PART	l:	STREA	C PROCUF MLINED, I ESS-FRIEN	PROPORT				•	
Q2.			ice upon pu n an effecti						
	Yes √	ı	No 🗆 Don	't know/No	view 🗆				
	If yes	to Q2 –							

	a) To support this general duty and other requirements being proposed for public bodies, would it be appropriate for public bodies to be required to publish annual strategic procurement plans?				
Yes √ No □ Don't know/No view □					
Q3.	pre-qualification system?				
	Yes [No □	Don't know/No view $\sqrt{}$	
Q4.	Q4. Should the Bill be used to require public bodies to observe limits on minimestandards?				
	Yes [No □	Don't know/No view $\sqrt{}$	
	If yes	to Q4 –			
	a)		innual turnover re he annual contra	equirement be limited to no more than ct value?	
	Yes [No □	Don't know/No view $\sqrt{}$	
Q5.	suppl		for public contra	to provide de-brief information to cts in Scotland in situations not covered	
	Yes [No □	Don't know/No view $\sqrt{}$	
	If yes	to Q5 –			
	a)	de-brief info	mation – to all su	public bodies be required to provide appliers which bid or only to suppliers but for such information?	
FOISA and the EIRs give contractors the right to make a request for any recorded information held by a Scottish public authority. In this context, this will include copies of other tenders and information on the tendering exercise itself. The Commissioner has judged on a number of such cases. This right is subject to a number of exemptions (addressed in the response to (e) below). Authorities may therefore find it easier to be proactive in disclosing information to contractors than waiting for a formal information request to be made by a					
contractor.					
adop risk a	ot such associa	good practice ated with accid	should they wish	uld help authorities to have the powers to not to do so. It would potentially avoid the as there would be a lawful reason to be	

b) Should any requirement apply only to contracts of a certain value, for example contracts above £50k?

	Yes D	□ No □	Don'	t know/No view √		
•			•	ablic bodies provide to suppliers? In to receive information in writing or		
	d)	What timescales sho	ould apply?			
	-					
	e)	Should exemptions a	apply?			
	Yes \	No □ Don't k	now/No view D]		
	– If ye	es, what exemptions s	hould apply?			
	It should be noted that both FOISA and the EIRs give contractors (or any other person) the right to ask for recorded information held by a body which is a Scottish public authority for the purposes of FOISA or the EIRs. The information to be given is subject to the exemptions in Part 2 of FOISA or in regulation 10 of the EIRs. FOISA has been in force since January 2005 and public authorities have experience in applying these exemptions. It is therefore recommended, with reference to paragraph 76 of the consultation paper, that the exemptions should mirror as closely as possible the exemptions in FOISA or the EIRs, or ideally make direct reference to them.					
	For example, public authorities may be able to withhold information the disclosure of which would, or would be likely to, prejudice substantially law enforcement or a person's commercial interests. In both of these cases, the information should, however, be disclosed where the public interest in disclosing the information outweighs the public interest in maintaining the exemption, even if the exemption applies.					
	Additionally	information may be	abla ta ba with	and where the information has		

Additionally, information may be able to be withheld where the information has been obtained from a third party and disclosure would constitute an actionable breach of confidence.

Aligning the exemptions in the Bill to exemptions which are already used by public authorities in dealing with information requests made under FOISA and the EIRs would reduce potential confusion and would ensure that a consistent public interest test is applied.

I would be happy to assist further in assisting the drafting of any exemptions or references to existing information legislation.

f) What are the potential costs/benefits?

-					
	g) Should	d there be separate	limits for construction?		
	Yes □	No 🗆	Don't know/No view √		
-	– if yes, wna	t limits should apply	?		
Q6.	Should the B		peing levied for the issue of tender o	documents	
	Yes □	No □	Don't know/No view $\sqrt{}$		
Q7.	How could a	ny new arrangemen	ts outlined in Part I be fully enforced	ქ?	
-					
annu requ infor publ	further comments on the proposals in Part I. Please also use this space to give your thoughts on any definitions or potential impacts you would like us to consider in relation to this part of the Bill. Q2. asks whether it would be appropriate for public bodies to be required to publish annual strategic procurement plans. It should be noted that section 23 of FOISA requires public authorities to adopt and maintain a publication scheme and publish information in accordance with that scheme. In considering what should be published through the scheme, authorities are required to have regard to the public				
serv prov	interest in allowing public access to information which (i) relates to the provision of services by it, the cost of providing them or the standards attained by services so provided and (ii) consists of facts, or analyses, on the basis of which decisions of importance to the public have been made by it (section 23(3)(a)).				
mad ensu	e available un ure that my ow	der the authority's p	olish procurement plans, they should ublication scheme. I would be pleas ication schemes encourages author ibility.	sed to	

PART II: Making it easier for business, particularly newer businesses, SMEs and Third Sector organisations, to access public contract opportunities and sub-contracting requirements

Q9.	Should the Bill include a general duty on public bodies to consider, for each and every requirement, how the specification of requirements may impact on the ability of newer businesses, SMEs and Third Sector organisations to compete?			
	Yes □	No □	Don't know/No view √	
Q10.			process, might public bodies act to s, SMEs and Third Sector organisations?	
-				
Q11.	What in your view are the potential costs/benefits associated with such a duty?			
-				
Q12.	How could such a	duty be enforced?		
-				
Q13.	, .		es should be required to use a single nd award all contracts?	
	Yes □	No □	Don't know/No view $\sqrt{}$	

If yes to Q13 –

- a) What level do you think the threshold should be set for:
 - goods and services contracts
 - works contracts.

I do not have a view on whether there should be an *obligation* on all to use a single specified online portal to advertise and award all contracts. I do consider that there would be a likely value to the public in being able to access such information from a single source, provided that the information is accessible. I also consider that there would be a potential saving for authorities which use the portal as a way to fulfil some of their obligations under s23 of FOISA (if it removes the need to replicate the information on their own websites).

Q14.	Should the B documentation	ill place a duty on public bodies to publish contract on?
	Yes √	No □ Don't know/No view □

Q15. What do you see as the advantages/disadvantages to requiring that public bodies publish contract documentation?

As noted above, section 23 of FOISA already requires public authorities to publish certain information proactively in addition to publishing information in response to information requests made under the legislation. I have developed an approved a 'Model Publication Scheme' which sets out the types of information, including procurement information, that I would expect authorities to publish in fulfilment of their obligations under s23.

As section 4 of Part 2 of the Scottish Ministers' Code of Practice on the discharge of functions under FOISA and the EIRs explains, the guiding principles of our freedom of information legislation include transparency in the use of public funds and demonstrable diligence in managing contractors to ensure best value for money. The Code lists, in detail, the types of information that should be publicly available about contractual and procurement-related information, including:

- How much money is spent and with whom
- The nature of the services, goods or works procured
- The checks and balances in place for managing contracts and what intervention may be made by the client authority.

At the same time, the Code explains that authorities must respect commercial interests.

The compilation of information for publication from a range of contracts could be quite arduous. In practice, authorities are likely to find it more efficient to simply publish contracts in whole or in part (redacting commercially sensitive information). Indeed, it is now becoming increasingly common for public authorities to publish contract documentation, both promoting transparency and allowing tax payers to understand how their money is being spent and to understand the standard of services which the contractor is required to provide.

Q16. What are the resource implications for buyers/suppliers if commercially sensitive information had to be removed from every contract prior to publication?

Sitting alongside FOISA and the EIRs is the Scottish Ministers' Code of Practice on the discharge of functions by Scottish public authorities under FOISA and the EIRs. The Code contains detailed guidance to public authorities on disclosing information relating to contracts or procurement processes and advises (at 4.1.1) that, when beginning any new procurement exercise, public authorities should ensure that bidders/suppliers understand the extent to which their information may be disclosed by the authority either proactively or in response to an information request.

The Code recommends that authorities and contractors identify, at the tendering / contracting stage, information which is considered to be sensitive by either party. Experience indicates that it is much easier to agree these boundaries at an early stage in the contracting process than subsequently when an information request is received.

As such, public authorities subject to the legislation should, in order to comply with the Scottish Ministers' Code, already be carrying out this work.

Q17.	deterring sup	pliers from bidding t	ontract documentation ¹ inhibit competition by for public contracts, and subsequently have a r money achieved on behalf of taxpayers? Don't know/No view □	
	res 🗆	INO V	Don't know/No view 🗆	
Q18.	•		registers by public bodies be a better act documentation?	
	Yes □	No √	Don't know/No view □	
Q19.	•	ation of contract do	cumentation lead to greater transparency in	
	Yes √	No □ Don't know/l	No view □	
Q20.	Would publication of contract documentation improve value for money by ensuring that public bodies took greater care to ensure that contracts are of a high standard?			
	Yes □	No □	Don't know/No view $\sqrt{}$	
Q21.	Should all "major contracts" be defined as one which is a public contract as defined by the EU procurement Directives and has a total estimated value over the contract duration that matches or exceeds the threshold applicable to public works contracts as defined by the EU public procurement Directive (currently £4.3m)?			

¹ The documentation between the public body and the supplier that form the contract

	Yes □]	No □	Don't know/No view √
Q22.		•	•	in receipt of major contracts to advertise e specified online portal?
	Yes □]	No □	Don't know/No view $\sqrt{}$
	If yes	to Q22 –		
	a)	Should the d the same?	uty extend to all o	contractors through the supply chain to do
	Yes □]	No □	Don't know/No view $\sqrt{}$
Q23.	Are there other ways in which the Bill could achieve the desired policy objective (making it easier for SMEs and Third Sector organisations to access and compete effectively for contracts)?			
Q24.	How c	ould any new	arrangements o	utlined in Part II be fully enforced?
In my answer to Q16, I make reference to the Code of Practice issued by the Scottish Ministers. Where a public authority fails to comply with the Code, I have the right, under section 44 of FOISA, to give the authority a practice recommendation specifying the steps which I consider the authority ought to take in order to conform with the Code. Practice recommendations are not enforceable through the courts. Thought would be required on whether additional powers are needed and where they should lie. Should it be decided that 'fully enforceable' means the courts, the provisions in the Bill might more appropriately amend existing legislation, rather than create it from new.				

Q25. Please use this space to give reasons for your responses or if you have any further comments on the proposals in Part II. Please also use this space to give your thoughts on any definitions or potential impacts you would like us to consider in relation to this part of the Bill.

Q17 asks whether a requirement to publish contract documentation could inhibit competition by deterring suppliers from bidding for public contracts, leading to a detrimental effect on the value for money achieved on behalf of taxpayers. This was an argument put forward before FOISA came into force in January 2005, but I am unaware of any evidence that suggests this has been the outcome.

For illustration purposes, I would point to the many cases considered by the Commissioner in which authorities have argued that release of information would cause substantial prejudice to the commercial interests of any person or organisation. Many of these cases have been decided in favour of authorities, but in others, such as Decision 066/2006 - Mr John Robertson, Aberdeen Journals Ltd., and the Chief Constable of Northern Constabulary, the Commissioner has ordered release of the information. In no case are we aware that the harm

envisaged has come to fruition. On the basis of this experience I would recommend that any concerns require careful exploration.

FOISA has almost been in force for almost eight years, and contractors are aware that details of contracts may already be made available. As the introduction to the paper notes (paragraph 22), in 2010/11, the scale of public procurement spending in Scotland is likely to have been nearer £11 billion. It is very hard to believe that contractors would chose not to contract with the public sector simply because bodies were required to publish contract documentation.

Q20. asks whether publication of contract information would improve value for money. It is difficult to assess the potential impact of such a step, but an inevitable benefit of greater transparency is enabling civil society and the wider public to form their own views about the activity of the public sector. In many freedom of information jurisdictions, the key aspiration for greater openness is to reduce corruption. If greater openness in Scotland would achieve better value for money, then I am all in favour of it. There is also the possibility that publication would lead to greater consistency in practice – both by authorities and by contractors bidding for work, which in turn could lead to opportunity benefits. I suspect that we will not know the benefits until the approach is tried.

PART III: SMARTER USE OF PUBLIC PROCUREMENT TO ENCOURAGE INNOVATION AND GROWTH

QZU.	goods, services and works for internal and international markets?		
-			
Q27.	Do you support our proposals to stimulate new businesses opportunities and inward investment in facilities to provide new, sustainable products and services for the public sector?		
	Yes □	No □	Don't know/No view √
Q28.	Should the Procure must permit the sul		make it a requirement that purchasers nt bids?
	Yes □	No □	Don't know/No view √
Q29.	How could any new	v arrangements c	utlined in Part III be fully enforced?
-			

Q30.	furthe	Please use this space to give reasons for your responses or if you have any further comments on the proposals in Part III. Please also use this space to give your thoughts on any definitions or potential impacts you would like us to consider in relation to this part of the Bill.				
-						
PART	IV:			AL AND ENVIRONMENTAL ROUGH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT		
Q31.	Should those awarding major contracts ² be required to consider including community benefits clauses?					
	Yes		No □	Don't know/No view $\sqrt{}$		
	If yes	s to Q31 –				
	the benefits those clauses are inter		those clauses are explaining why th	contracts be required to publish details of intended to deliver and the outcomes or e contract is not considered suitable for nefit clauses?		
	Yes		No □	Don't know/No view $\sqrt{}$		
				contracts be required to consult nunity Benefits they would wish to see		
	Yes		No □	Don't know/No view $\sqrt{}$		
	c)		0 ,	contracts be required to consider clauses to sub-contractors?		
	Yes		No □	Don't know/No view √		

² A question on defining a "major contract" features earlier in the document.

Q32.	32. Should those in receipt of major contracts be required to publish training apprenticeship plans for those contracts?			
	Yes □		No □	Don't know/No view $\sqrt{}$
Q33.			Procurement Ref	form Bill to promote greater use of sector?
	Yes [No □	Don't know/No view $\sqrt{}$
	If yes	to Q33 –		
	a)			c bodies consider use of supported proach to procurement?
-				
	b)		-	requirement that public bodies have at a supported business?
	Yes [No □	Don't know/No view $\sqrt{}$
Q34.	nomir		pion" for supporte	al requirement that public bodies d business to act as a focal point for
	Yes [No □	Don't know/No view $\sqrt{}$
Q35.	5. Should public sector bodies be placed under a general duty which require them to demonstrate the extent to which what is being procured will prom or improve the economic, social, health and environmental well-being of t relevant area?		hich what is being procured will promote	
	Yes [No □	Don't know/No view $\sqrt{}$
	If yes	to Q35 –		
	a)		g the process of p ew to securing tha	procurement, should public sector bodies at improvement?
	Yes [No □	Don't know/No view $\sqrt{}$
	b)	What are the	key issues that	should be set out in the guidance?

Q36.	How could any new arrangements outlined in Part IV be fully enforced?					
-						
Q37.	Please use this space to give reasons for your responses or if you have any further comments on the proposals in Part IV. Please also use this space to give your thoughts on any definitions or potential impacts you would like us to consider in relation to this part of the Bill.					
-						
PART	V:	_	TH INAPPROPR G SUPPLIERS	IATE CONDUCT AND POOR		
Q38.	Should the Bill include measures to ensure that the public sector deals appropriately with poor performance and poor standards of business ethics on the part of contractors?					
	Yes		No □	Don't know/No view $\sqrt{}$		
Q39.	Should contractors that fail to adhere to appropriate standards of conduct, performance and business ethics be excluded from competing for public contracts?					
	Yes		No □	Don't know/No view √		
	If yes	s to Q39–				
	a)	What should	that form of excl	usion be?		
-						
Q40.	How	could any new	arrangements o	utlined in Part V be fully enforced?		
-		·	J	,		
Q41.	Please use this space to give reasons for your responses or if you have any further comments on the proposals contained in Part V. Please also use this space to give your thoughts on any definitions or potential impacts you would like us to consider in relation to this part of the Bill.					
-						

PART VI: APPLICATION AND COMPLIANCE

Q42.	Should the Bill adopt the same approach to defining public contracts as in the EU Directive and implementing Scottish Regulations?						
	Yes [No □	Don't know/No view $\sqrt{}$			
	If yes	to Q42 –					
	a)	What should	l our approach	be to local exemptions?			
-							
Q43.	Should we include specific provisions which explicitly exclude from coverage, contracts between public bodies which are non-commercial? (e.g. those that are in pursuit of shared service initiatives.)						
	Yes [No □	Don't know/No view $\sqrt{}$			
Q44.	Should all of the proposals discussed in this consultation paper apply to the procurement of health and social services?						
	Yes [No □	Don't know/No view $\sqrt{}$			
	If no t	to Q44 –					
	a)		of the proposal es be exempt a	Is should the procurement of health and and why?			
-							
	b)			itional provisions which apply only to the social services?			
-							
	If yes	to Q44 –					
	c)			the Bill to deliver its proposed aims in the large procurement?			
-							
Q45.	Shou Yes [vities conducted by Private Sector bodies?			

Q46.	Should the Procurement Reform Bill apply in full or in part to contracts awarded by public bodies in furtherance of utility activities as defined in Directive 2004/17/EC, given effect in Scotland by the Utilities Contracts (Scotland) Regulations 2012?					
Q47.	How could any new arrangements be fully enforced?					
Q48.	. What sanctions might be appropriate for failure to comply?					
-						
Q49.	Should the Single Point of Enquiry have a role in relation to enforcement of the provisions of the Bill?					
	Yes □	No □	Don't know/No view $\sqrt{}$			
	If yes to Q49 –					
a)	Should it do so on the basis of statutory powers?					
	Yes □	No □	Don't know/No view $\sqrt{}$			
Q50.	Flease use this space to give reasons for your responses or if you have any further comments on the proposals in Part VI. Please also use this space to give your thoughts on any definitions or potential impacts you would like us to consider in relation to this part of the Bill.					
While I have no view on the specific questions, I would urge drafters of the Bill to ensure that any provisions in place do not conflict with existing information legislation or place conflicting requirements on authorities.						

Living Wage through procurement

Q51. Should procurement activity be used to encourage contractors to paliving wage to their employees engaged in the delivery of public sec contracts?					
	Yes D		No □	Don't know/No v	iew √
	If yes	to Q51 –			
	a)				should contractors be pay a living wage?
-					
	b)				the living wage in all pe or of a certain value?
-					
	c)		•	s and costs assoc	iated with promoting it activity?
-					
	d)		e implications for and the market		ary sector suppliers,
-					
	e)	•	of promoting pay	nine the wider soc ment of the living	ial and economic wage in a particular
-					