Our Ref: 200501561

Mr David Flint MacRoberts Solicitors

15 August 2006

Dear Mr Flint

DECISION NOTICE – SECTION 49 OF THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION (SCOTLAND) ACT 2002
DECISION NUMBER 148/2006
PUBLIC AUTHORITY: ARGYLL AND BUTE COUNCIL (THE COUNCIL)

I refer to the application you made to me on 22 April 2005 for a decision as to whether the Council dealt with the information request you made in accordance with Part 1 of the Freedom of Information (Scotland) Act 2002 (FOISA). In this case, the information requested consisted of a copy of the list of properties in respect of which the Council collects the waste water charges on behalf of Scottish Water.

Please note that this is a formal decision notice under section 49 of FOISA.

I have now completed my investigation. In doing so, any points raised in your applications and any comments submitted to me by the Council have been taken into account.

Background

The Council did not respond to your initial request or subsequent request for review. In its submission to me, the Council refused your request on the basis of the following sections in FOISA: section 33(1)(b) (disclosure under FOISA would, or would be likely to, prejudice substantially the commercial interests of any person, including, without prejudice to that generality, a Scottish public authority) and section 36(2) (the information was obtained by the Council from another person, including another such authority, and its disclosure by the Council so obtaining it to the public otherwise than under FOISA would constitute a breach of confidence actionable by that person or any other person).

Decision

After carrying out an investigation, I am satisfied that the Council was correct not to provide you with the information you requested as the information withheld from you is exempt from disclosure under section 33(1)(b) on the grounds that disclosure of the information under FOISA would, or would be likely to, prejudice substantially the commercial interests of Scottish Water. I also found that that it would not be in the public interest to release such information on the grounds that the loss of income

which is currently obtained by Scottish Water in providing property search certificates under the provisions of its publication scheme and in entering into licensed arrangements with commercial organisations in respect of property searches would, or would be likely to, have the unintended consequence of increasing overall water and sewerage charges which would not be in the interest of the public.

I am satisfied that the Council was correct not to provide you with the information you requested as the information withheld from you is exempt from disclosure under section 36(2) of FOISA on the basis that the information was obtained by the Council from another person (in this case, Scottish Water) and disclosure by the Council of the information to the public would constitute a breach of confidence actionable by Scottish Water. The exemption in section 36(2) of FOISA is not subject to the public interest test contained in section 2(1)(b) of FOISA and I am therefore not required to consider the public interest in the disclosure or withholding of the information

I also found that the Council partially failed to comply with Part 1 of FOISA by failing to respond to your request for information within 20 working days as required by section 10(1). The Council also failed to carry out a review within the time limit set out in section 21(1) of FOISA. I do not require the Council to take any remedial steps in relation to these breaches.

As you are aware, this particular application is very similar to another application by MacRoberts which I considered in detail in decision 056/2006, MacRoberts and the City of Edinburgh Council. That decision was sent to you by recorded delivery on 28 March 2006 and I understand that you have confirmed that you have received that decision. Whilst I am not specifically required by FOISA to provide reasons for my decisions, I wish to make it clear that the reasons for coming to this particular decision are set out in some detail in decision 056/2006, therefore I refer you to that decision for an explanation of my reasoning in this particular case.

Appeal

Should either MacRoberts or the Council wish to appeal against this decision, there is a right of appeal to the Court of Session on a point of law only. Any such appeal must be made within 42 days of receipt of this notice.

I have sent a copy of this decision to the Council. This decision notice will be made available on my website in due course.

Yours sincerely

Kevin Dunion Scottish Information Commissioner